TWIN CITY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

JENNIFER ALLEN, PRINCIPAL



DATE: August 20, 2019

TO: Stanwood-Camano School Board Members

FROM: Jennifer Allen, Principal

RE: Approval of the Highly Capable Program Grant

Attached, please find the Highly Capable program plan and grant for the 2019-20 school year. The attached documents outline the program and are an application for state funding. Prior to applying the grant dollars, school board approval must be given.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the School Board of Directors approve the Highly Capable program and grant for the 2019-20 school year.

217 Highly Capable Program Plan Fiscal Year: 19-20

Milestone: Draft (Printed 8/7/2019)

District: Stanwood-Camano School District **Organization Code: 31401**

ESD: Northwest Educational Service District 189

Page 1

Directions

All Local Education Agencies (LEAs) must complete this application for the 2019-20 school year.

- Page 1 must be updated annually: District indicates if accepting Highly Capable funds, signs and dates assurances to comply with Highly Capable Program requirements.
- Pages 2 through 7: LEA information entered on pages 2-6 of FP 217 will roll over from the LEA's last approved Highly Capable Comprehensive Plan. If changes were made on any Page (2-6) check the box on this page, then make changes on the appropriate page.

REMINDER: The Highly Capable funding formula is 5.0 percent of each LEA's population. **This** is a funding formula and does not mean a certain percentage of students must be identified.

LEAs must also submit for OSPI approval the FY 2019-20 end-of-year report (SY 2018-19), iGrant Form Package 250, before receiving funding for the 2019-20 school year.

Your school board must approve the information and data you enter in this form package annually. In iGrants form Package 217 (fiscal year 2019-20), click Print All, to the right of Save. (WAC 392.170.025)

Program Monitoring and Review

OSPI staff will review District Highly Capable plans during the Consolidated Program Review (CPR) process. Districts will be reviewed during Consolidated Program Review cycle, even if they are not accepting funds, as Highly Capable is part of the program of basic education under RCW 28A.150.220(3)(g)3).

Updated Pages

Updates	have	been	made	to t	the	fol	lowing	pages
---------	------	------	------	------	-----	-----	--------	-------

Page 2
Page 3
Page 4
Page 5
Page 6
Page 7

Assurances: Comply with State Law and Regulation

NOTE: As part of RCW 28A.150.220(3)(g), the instructional program of basic education provided by each LEA shall include programs for Highly Capable students. Consistent with OSPI's approach to the Learning Assistance Program and the Transitional Bilingual Instructional Program, an LEA does not have to accept funds. It does need to ensure services are provided to students who qualify. Under the law, LEAs are to identify their most Highly Capable students and serve them. See RCW 28A.185.020 (1) and (2).

Please check only one box below:

LEA accepts Highly Capable allocation for 2019-20 school year. LEA agrees to the comply with:

- a. RCW 28A.150.220(3)(g)(3)
 - The instructional program of basic education provided by each LEA shall include: (g) Programs for highly Capable students under RCW 28A.185.010 through 28A.185.030.
- b. **RCW 28A.185.020**

Highly Capable program requirements provided in state law.

- C. WAC 392-170-012
 - Highly Capable program requirements provided under OSPI rules. WAC 392-170
- d. Annually report the students served in the LEA's Highly Capable program in CEDARS.
- e. Your school board must approve the information and data you enter in this form package annually. LEA understands that OSPI staff will review the Comprehensive Plan during the Consolidated Program Review (CPR) process.
- f. Annually complete the End-of-Year Report (iGrants Form Package 250).
- q. Follow RCW 28A.185.020 District practices for identifying the most Highly Capable student must prioritize equitable identification of low-income students.
- h. Follow RCW 28A.300.770 Highly Capable students Identification procedures. Assessment process for identification as Highly Capable student.

☐ LEA DOES NOT accept Highly Capable allocation for the 2019-20 school year. LEA understands that under the Basic Education Act, it must offer a Highly Capable program that complies with RCW 28A.150.220(3)(g). This includes a responsibility to identify and serve their most Highly Capable students. LEA agrees that it will:

- a. Annually report the students served in the district's Highly Capable program in CEDARS.
- b. Annually complete applicable portions of the End-of-Year Report (iGrants Form Package 250). This includes annually reviewing and validating CEDARS data.
- c. Your school board must approve the information and data you enter in this form package annually. LEA understands that OSPI staff will review the Comprehensive Plan during the Consolidated Program Review (CPR) process.
- d. Follow RCW 28A.185.020 District practices for identifying the most Highly Capable student must prioritize equitable identification of low-income students.
- e. Follow RCW 28A.300.770 Highly Capable students -Identification procedures. Assessment process for identification as Highly Capable student.

District officials have read, and the district complies with, the laws and regulations above.											
Name of Authorized Representative: Dr. Lloy Schaaf											
Position/Title of Authorized Representative:	Assistant Superintendent										
Date: (MM/DD/YY) 08/05/2019											

Highly Capable Program Coord	inator
Contact Name:	Jennifer Allen
Organization:	Stanwood-Camano School District
Email:	jallen@stanwood.wednet.edu
Phone:	360-629-1270
Contact Name:	Jennifer Allen
Organization:	Stanwood-Camano School District

Highly Capable Program Parent Organization	
Is there a parent organization in your area? ☐ Yes ☑ No	
Contact Name:	
Organization:	
Email:	
Phone:	

District's Highly Capable Student Definition and Learning Characteristics RCW 28A-185-030, WAC 392-170 | 035 | 036

Instructions

- 1. Select one check box.
- 2. If you check the second box, provide the district's unique definition of a Highly Capable student and learning characteristics.
- District uses the state's definition for students who are Highly Capable, and to define the learning characteristics that could be evident in students identified as Highly Capable.
- District uses a unique definition that integrates elements of the state's definitions.

Highly Capable Student: Unique District Definition and Learning Characteristics.

Statement of Purpose (OPTIONAL)

District has a statement of purpose for the Highly Capable program.

☑ Yes ☐ No

Identification Process: Notification, Referral, Screening, Assessment, Selection, Appeal RCW 28A-185-030, WACs 392-170 | 042 | 045 | 047 | 055 | 060 | 070 | 075

Instructions

Identification procedures must occur at all grade levels in the district. The demographics that characterize your district's Highly Capable students should reflect the demographics of the district's population. Once a student is identified, the district provides services across all grade levels — for as long as he or she remains in the district.

Every Item is Mandatory

- 1. Mark each check box in sections A, B, C, D and E to affirm that these WAC requirements are in place.
- 2. Write your response where indicated.

A. Annual Notification WAC 392-170-042

Assurances

Public notification for parents and students before any major identification activity.

Public Notification

- Translated into languages spoken by the communities whose students attend the schools in your district, as necessary to reach the families whose children attend schools in your district.
- Published across multiple communication channels with circulation adequate to notify parents and students throughout the district.

B. Referral Process <u>WAC 392-170-045</u> | <u>055</u>

Assurances

- District uses a specific process to refer students for the Highly Capable program
- Referral process permits referrals from teachers, other staff, parents, students and community members.

C. Parental/Legal Guardian Permission WAC 392-170-047

District must have on file written or electronic signature permission to assess and start HCP **services**. Every item listed below is required by WAC 392-170-047.

Assurances

District gets permission to assess.

District gets permission to start services.

Every assurance in the table below is mandatory.

Permission to Test Includes		Permission to Start HCP Services Includes								
Explanation of the procedures for identification of a student for entrance into the HCP.	v	Explanation of the procedures for identification of a student for entrance into the HCP.	P							
Explanation of the process for appealing the selection decision of the multidisciplinary selection committee.	v	Explanation of the process for appealing the selection decision of the multidisciplinary selection committee.	P							
Explanation of the procedures to exit a student from the program.	v	Explanation of the procedures to exit a student from the program.	V							
Information on the district's program and the options that will be available to identified students.	v	Information on the district's program and the options that will be available to identified students.	P							

D. Screening Procedures **OPTIONAL** <u>WAC 392-170-045</u> | <u>055</u> | <u>060</u> | <u>075</u>

Instructions

The referra	l process	could in	nclude a	method to	screen	out studen	ts who	do not	t qualify	for the	e HCP,
based on cl	ear curre	nt evide	ence.								

The district uses a screening process. Yes lacktriangle No lacktriangle

If yes, click the **NEW** button and complete the tables to document the type of screener by grade level.

If no, continue to Part E.

Do Not Lose Your Data - Click Save!

Click **Save** at the top of the page after you complete each table.

Screening Procedures

Assurances

Mark both check boxes to affirm that WAC requirements detailed in 392-170-055 and 392-170-060 are in place.

- District has a clearly defined and documented screening process.
- All tests and other evaluation materials used in the screening meet requirements of WAS 392-170-060 *Nondiscrimination in the use of tests.*

Instructions

MSC Considers Screening and Assessment Data

Make sure your multidisciplinary selection committee reviews all the data you collect through your screening procedures and your assessment process.

WAC 392-170-075 Selection of Most Highly Capable

[selection] "(3) Shall be based on a selection system that determines which students are the most highly capable as defined under <u>wac 392-170-055</u>, and other data collected in the assessment process."

If you checked the **K12 box** do NOT check the boxes below individual grades

Cognitive				9	Scre	ener	by	Grad	le L	evel				
Cognitive	K12	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
CogAt 7-Screening Form														
CogAt 7-Full Battery														
Naglieri Nonverbal Aptitude Test (NNAT2)				П										
Stanford Binet Intelligence Scales (SB5)														
Stanford Binet Intelligence Scales for Early Childhood (Early SB5)														
Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children, 4th Edition (WISC IV)														
Woodcock-Johnson IV (WJ IV)														
Otis-Lennon School Ability Test, 8 Edition (OLSAT 8)														
Other: Name(s)														
Academic Achievement	Screener by Grade Level													
	K12	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
State Assessment(s)	P													
MAP for Primary Grades (MPG)														
Measures of Academic Progress (MAP)			l	ı							_	\perp		$\overline{}$
(i.itai)				v	v	V	V	V	V	V	П	□		П
Iowa Test of Basic Skills (ITBS)					₽	₽		₽	₽	₽				
,											_			
Iowa Test of Basic Skills (ITBS) Iowa Test of Educational														
Iowa Test of Basic Skills (ITBS) Iowa Test of Educational Development (ITED) Stanford Achievement Test Series,														
Iowa Test of Basic Skills (ITBS) Iowa Test of Educational Development (ITED) Stanford Achievement Test Series, 10th Edition (SAT 10)														
Iowa Test of Basic Skills (ITBS) Iowa Test of Educational Development (ITED) Stanford Achievement Test Series, 10th Edition (SAT 10) Woodcock-Johnson IV (WJIV) Other: Name(s)														

Torrance Test of Creative Thinking (TTCT)														
Other: Name(s) Renzuli	V													
Research-based Rating Scale	K12	к	1	2	Scre 3	ener 4	by 5	Grad 6	le Lo	evel 8	9	10	11	12
State Assessment(s)	R12													
Scales for Rating the Behavioral Characteristics of Superior Students (Renzulli Scales)		П	П	П	П	П	П	П	П	П	П	П	П	П
Scales for Identifying Gifted Students, 2004 (SIGS)		П	П	П	□	П	□		□	П	П	□	□	
WaKIDS (Washington Kindergarten Inventory of Developing Skills)		П												
Other: Name(s)														
Screener by Grade Level														
Informal Measures														
	K12	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	
Informal Measures Kingore Observation Inventory	K12	K	1								9	10	11	12
				2	3	4	5	6	7	8				
Kingore Observation Inventory Teacher Rating Scale-locally		□	□	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		П	□	
Kingore Observation Inventory Teacher Rating Scale-locally developed Parent Rating Scale-locally	□ ☑			2 □	3 □ □	4 □	5 🗆	6 □ □	7 	8				
Kingore Observation Inventory Teacher Rating Scale-locally developed Parent Rating Scale-locally developed				2 □	3 □ □	4 □ □	5 🗆	6 □ □	7 	8 □ □ □				
Kingore Observation Inventory Teacher Rating Scale-locally developed Parent Rating Scale-locally developed Report Card				2	3 	4 □ □	5	6	7	8				

E. Assessment Process <u>WAC 392-170-055</u> | <u>060</u>

Assurances

Mark each check box to affirm that WAC requirements detailed in 392-170-055 and 392-170-060 are in place.

- District has a clearly defined and documented assessment process.
- All tests and other evaluation materials used in the assessment meet requirements of WAC 392-170-060 Nondiscrimination in the use of Tests.

Instructions

Use **up-to-date assessment tools.** Contact individual publishing companies for more information on each assessment.

Other - Name the other data sources you use.

If K12 is marked, do not check any of the individual grade level boxes for the measure.

ALERT: Districts that screen must use different instruments in the assessment process.

Assessment Measures

Committing			As	sess	mer	nt Me	easu	re B	y Gr	ade	Lev	el		
Cognitive	K12	К	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
CogAT 7-Screening Form														
CogAT 8-Screening Form														
CogAT 7-Full Battery	V													
CogAT 8-Full Battery														
Naglieri Nonverbal Aptitude Test (NNAT2)														
Stanford Binet Intelligence Scales (SB5)							П							
Stanford Binet Intelligence Scales for Early Childhood (Early SB5)														
Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children, 4th Edition (WISC IV)														
Woodcock-Johnson IV (WJ IV)														
Otis-Lennon School Ability Test, 8 Edition (OLSAT 8)						П	П							
Other: Name(s)														

A codomic A chicusanout			As	sess	mer	nt Mo	easu	re B	y Gı	rade	Lev	el		
Academic Achievement	K12	К	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
State Assessment(s)	P													
MAP for Primary Grades (MPG)														
Measures of Academic Progress (MAP)		V	V	V										
Iowa Test of Basic Skills (ITBS)														
Iowa Test of Educational														

Development (ITED)							
Stanford Achievement Test Series, 10th Edition (SAT 10)							
Woodcock-Johnson IV (WJIV)							
Kaufman Test of Educational Achievement (KTEA)							
Other: Name(s)							

Croativity	Assessment Measure by Grade Level													
Creativity	K12	К	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Torrance Test of Creative Thinking														
Other: Name(s) Renzuli	P													

Decease Paged Pating Coals	Assessment Measure by Grade Level													
Research-Based Rating Scale	K12	К	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Gifted Rating Scales, 2003 (GRS)														
Scales for Rating the Behavioral Characteristics of Superior Students (Renzulli Scales)														
Scales for Identifying Gifted Students, 2004 (SIGS)														
WaKIDS (Washington Kindergarten Inventory of Developing Skills)		P												
Other: Name(s)														

Treformal Managers		Assessment Measure by Grade Level												
Informal Measures	K12	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Kingore Observation Inventory														
Teacher Rating Scale-locally developed							П							
Parent Rating Scale-locally developed														
Report Card														
Portfolio-Work Samples	V													
Other: Name(s)														

F. Selection WAC 392-170-075

Multidisciplinary Selection Committee (MSC) Considers Screening and Assessment Data If you screen, make sure your multidisciplinary selection committee reviews all the data you collect — through your screening procedures and your assessment process.

WAC 392-170-075 Selection of Most Highly Capable

Shall be based on a selection system that determines which students are the most Highly Capable as defined under wac 392-170-055, and other data collected in the assessment process."

Assurances

- District has documented procedure and board-approved policy that govern selection of the most Highly Capable students by the MSC. This policy and its procedures meet the requirements listed in WAC 392-170-075.
- ☑ Board Policy and Procedure Number 2190
- If not, 2190: Board Policy and Procedure Name or Number

G. Multidisciplinary Selection Committee (MSC) WAC 392-170-070 | 075 | 038

Assurances

MSC members must meet the minimum professional requirements listed in WAC 392-170-070.

- The district's MSC composition and the role of the committee members comply with WAC 392-170-070 and 075.
- Each committee member commits to the strictest level of confidentiality related to the process, documentation, student information and selection.

HCP Services: Continuum and Variety RCW 28A-185-030, WAC 392-170-030

A. Program Services Management WAC 392-170-078 | 080

Assurances

- District provides educational opportunities that take into account each student's needs and capabilities.
- District reviews services for each student periodically to ensure services meet each student's needs and capabilities.

B. Variety and Continuum of Program Services WAC 392-170-078 | 080

Instructions

CEDARS gifted values identifies four primary structures for HCP service delivery:

- General education classroom-based services and programs, CEDARS Gifted Value 32
- Unique HCP Services/Programs, CEDARS Gifted Value 33
- Acceleration Services/Programs, CEDARS Gifted Value 34
- Non-Traditional Services/Programs, CEDARS Gifted Value 35

For each service delivery option or options you provide identify the instructional strategies and curricular modifications teachers integrate to meet the needs of their Highly Capable students.

ALERT: Instructional programming and the delivery of HCP services must be in place at every grade level in your district. Highly Capable students remain in the program until their enrollment in your district ends.

Complete the Gifted Value Tables

For each Gifted Value identify:

- 1. Program options by grade level. If K12 is marked, do not check any of the individual grade level boxes for the measure.
- 2. Instructional strategies and curricular modifications.

CEDARS Gifted Value 32	K12	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
General Education classroom- based services and programs	V														
Instructional Strategies and Curi	ficat	ion													
☑ Differentiation															
Flexible grouping		P	Enric	chme	ent										

☑ Independent study		✓ Independent projects														
☐ Pacing	Pacing					▼ Content acceleration										
Supplemental instruction in area o	fintere	est		V	Supp	olem	ental	mat	erial	s in	area	of in	itere	st		
Cluster grouping					Othe	r Na	me(s	5)								
CEDARS Gifted Value 33 Unique HCP services and	K12	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12		
programs			L		<u> </u>											
Self-Contained classroom		V	V	<u> </u>	V	V	V									
Supplemental pull-out program																
Specialty online course or courses								V	v	V	v	V	V	V		
Other Name(s)																
Instructional Strategies and Curri	ion															
☑ Differentiation	Curriculum Compacting															
Flexible grouping						☑ Enrichment										
☑ Independent study						☑ Independent projects										
☑ Pacing				P	Cont	ent a	accel	erati	on							
Supplemental instruction in area o	f intere	est		☑ Supplemental materials in area of interest										st		
Cluster grouping					Othe	r Na	me(s	5)								
CEDARS Gifted Value 34 Acceleration services and programs	K12	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12		
Advance Placement (AP)													V	V		
Cambridge AICE																
Concurrent or dual enrollment												V	V	V		
Credit by examination																
Early entrance middle school, high school or college												V	V	V		
Grade level advancement	V															
Honors/Advanced											V	V	V	V		
International Baccalaureate (IB)	International Baccalaureate (IB)															
Online course(s) for subject acceleration						П		П	П			V	V	V		
Running Start												V	V	V		
Subject-based acceleration	V															
Other Name(s)																

Instructional Strategies and Curric	cula M	odif	icat	ion										
☑ Differentiation				V	Curr	iculu	m Co	ompa	actin	g				
Flexible grouping				V	Enric	chme	ent							
☑ Independent study				V	Inde	penc	lent	proje	ects					
☑ Pacing														
☑ Supplemental instruction in area or	f intere	est		☑ Supplemental materials in area of interes									st	
Cluster grouping					Othe	r Na	me(s	s) Fa	lse					
						1		1						
CEDARS Gifted Value 35 Non-traditional services and programs	K12	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Mentorship														
Collaborative partnership with industry														
Cooperative arrangement with ESD														
Cooperative arrangement with other district(s)														
Supplemental academic competitions								V	v	v	v	V	V	V
Supplemental summer enrichment or acceleration														
Supplemental before or after school services and extra-curricular academic activities														
Other Name(s)						П								
Instructional Strategies and Curri	cula M	lodif	icat	ion		,	,	,	,	,	,	,		
☑ Differentiation				V	Curr	iculu	m Co	ompa	actin	g				
Flexible grouping		☑ Enrichment												
☑ Independent study		☑ Independent projects												
☑ Pacing				V	Cont	ent a	accel	erati	ion					
Supplemental instruction in area or	fintere	est		V	Supp	olem	enta	mat	teria	ls in	area	of ir	itere	st
Cluster grouping				Other Name(s)										

Program Goals, Monitoring and Evaluation WAC 392-170-030 | RCW 28A.185.050 | RCW 28A.150.220

A. District Program Goals WAC 392-170-030

Assurance

District has defined goals for the Highly Capable program and works toward meeting those goals.

ALERT: Keep documentation related to HCP goals on file at the district. District staff make these records available to authorized personnel during the sate Consolidated Program Review cycle and on request.

B. Monitoring: District Records That Demonstrate Compliance WAC 392-170-095 RCW 28A.185.050 | RCW 28A.150.220

Instructions

Keep those records that evidence compliance with state law at the district. Here are some examples of the HCP documents districts must keep on file:

- School board policy and district procedure that govern the district's Highly Capable program
- Assurances
- Annual public notification
- Parent/legal guardian permission notices and letters
- Description/documentation related to processes of identification, selection, appeal and program
- · Description/documentation related to program exit
- Documentation related to program options and services
- Records related to individual educational programs for Highly Capable students

Assurance

District maintains records that evidence compliance with the laws and regulations related to the Highly Capable program. District staff makes these records available to authorized personnel during the Consolidated Program Review cycle and on request.

ALERT: Do not upload HCP documentation; keep on file at the district.

C1. Evaluation WAC 392-170-030 | RCW 28A.185.050 | RCW 28A.150.220

Instructions

The evaluation of your HCP should return data that measure:

1. The annual efficacy of the district's HCP administration and operations

2. Compliance with state laws and regulations related to the highly capable program

Select the methods and activities you will use to evaluate the effectiveness of your Highly Capable program. Enter the timeframes for review and analysis. These data will inform your Form Package 250 End of Year report, and provide Program Evaluation evidence for Consolidated Program Review.

		Timeframe by Month(s) example September, December, April
Program Administration / Operations	Reviewed	Review and Analysis
Program Administration/Operation		
District Policy	V	April 2019
Program Expenditures	v	September 2018
Compliance to WAC 392-170	P	January 2019
District Procedures	P	April 2019
Goals for District Program	P	January 2019
Academic Goals for HCP Students	U	January 2019
Communications	┖	January 2019
Variety of Services at Grade Levels	U	April 2019
Continuum of Services	v	April 2019
Other: Name(s)		

C2. Evaluation <u>WAC 392-170-030</u> | <u>RCW 28A.185.050</u> | <u>RCW 28A.150.220</u>

Instructions

The evaluation of your HCP should return data that measure:

- 1. How well you HCP met its program goals
- 2. Academic achievement of your Highly Capable students
- 3. How well your HCP addressed the needs and capabilities of Highly Capable students

Select the **methods and activities you will use** to evaluate the effectiveness of your Highly Capable program. Enter the grade level, and timeframes for collection/administration and analysis. These data will inform your Form Package 250 End of Year report, and provide Program Evaluation evidence for Consolidated Program Review.

		Timeframe by M example September, Decer	9
Evaluation Methods and Activities	Grades	Collect or Administer	Review

Grades and Tests						
AP Tests			Elementary			
		P	Secondary	Lloy Schaat	f	May 2016
Cambridge AICE Tests			Elementary			
Cumbridge ALCE Tests			Secondary			
Classroom-based			Elementary			
Assessments			Secondary			
District Assessments			Elementary			
District Assessments			Secondary			
IB Tests			Elementary			
TD Tests			Secondary			
Performance Assessment			Elementary			
Terrormance Assessment			Secondary			
Progress Reports			Elementary			
Progress Reports			Secondary			
Report Cards			Elementary			
Report Cards			Secondary			
State Assessments		₽	Elementary	Dan Johnst	on	June 2019
State Assessments		P	Secondary	Dan Johnst	on	June 2019
Student Growth Percentiles (SGP) compar	ing	P	Elementary	Dan Johnst	on	January 2019
academic peers	-		Secondary			
			Elementary			
Other: Name(s)			Secondary			
Qualitative Data						
	□ Ele	ementary				
Staff Anecdotal Observation	□ Se	econdary				
	□ El	ementary	Dan Johr	nston	January	2018
Student Reflection	□ Se	econdary				
	ementary					
Student Interviews						

Other: Name(s)	□ :	Elementary						
		Secondary						
Surveys	·		•					
A desiral at water	☑ Elementary	Dan Johnston	Janua	ary 2018				
Administrator	☑ Secondary	Dan Johnston	Janua	ary 2018				
Davisek	☑ Elementary	Dan Johnston	Janua	nuary 2018				
Parent	☑ Secondary	Dan Johnston	Janua	January 2018				
Charles	☑ Elementary	Dan Johnston	Janua	ary 2018				
Student	☑ Secondary	Dan Johnston	Janua	ary 2018				
Table au	☑ Elementary	Dan Johnston	Janua	January 2018				
Teacher	☑ Secondary	Dan Johnston	nuary 2018					
Other: Name(s)	☐ Elementary							
Other: Name(s)	☐ Secondary							
Other Data Sources								
Attendance				☐ Elementary				
Attendance				☐ Secondary				
Competition Performance an	d Outcomes for su	ipplemental programs si	uch as	☐ Elementary				
Destination Imagination, Fut	ure Problem Solve	ers, History Day, debate	, chess	☐ Secondary				
Program Participation				☐ Elementary				
rrogram randcipadon				☐ Secondary				
Other Name(s)				☐ Elementary				
Other: Name(s)				☐ Secondary				

School Board Annual Approval of District Comprehensive Plan: iGrants 217 WAC 392-170-020 | 025 | 030

A. Estimate of Students Expected to Serve WAC 392-170-030

Instructions

Estimate the number of students your district expects to serve at each grade — across the district's total grade span.

K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
0	8	4	14	10	12	27	20	9	23	19	19	13	178

B. iGrants 217 Highly Capable Program Comprehensive Plan: School Board Annual Approval WAC 392-170-020 | 025

Instructions

This iGrants form package - 217 - is your district's Comprehensive plan.

- 1. Complete, print out all pages and take it to your school board for annual review and approval. Click **Print All**, to the right of Save.
- 2. Enter the date your school board approved this Comprehensive plan, iGrants 217. If it is not yet approved, please enter date when it will be on board agenda for approval.
- 3. Upload the board meeting minutes that document approval. Contact us to open this page when minutes are available.

Date of Annual Board Approval: 8/20/2019

Upload meeting minutes that show annual board approval of iGrants FP 217.

File names: do not use symbols or special characters.

Uploaded Files Uploaded By Uploaded At

Files have not been uploaded

Equitable Identification of Low-Income Students RCW 28A.185.020

Update as needed how you address equitable identification of low-income students as required by law RCW 28A.185.020.

1. Identify person(s) responsible for developing and implementing LEA equitable identification plan to address low-income students.

Jennifer Allen will be in charge of developing the equitable identification plan.

2. LEAs may find systemic barriers to identifying low-income students, such as: limited communication about referral process, testing outside school day, reliance on standardized testing only, no routine review of existing data, communication in English only, "cut off" scores on standardized tests, lack of information by school front office staff, and others.

OSPI suggests the following possible actions to reduce barriers to identifying low-income students: screen all students at certain grade level(s) to "screen in" students who may be overlooked, look for above-grade-level WaKIDS indicators, assess rapid growth over time with WaKIDS or ELPA21, test during school day in home school, review IEPs for students with disabilities for indicators of giftedness (twice exceptional), inform staff of gifted indicators and solicit referrals by staff, use alternative assessments for English learners, routinely review all relevant data for any new student, include referral information in enrollment packets, reach out to families by native language speaker.

Please update actions your LEA takes to prioritize equitable identification of lowincome students, and the LEA's process to implement during the 2019-20 identification cycle.

Our district selection process will and has included screening of all students using SBA, ELPA21, MAP, and AIMSWeb data at various grade levels. We will continue to test students during the day so there are no barriers to participation in testing and will review with special ed. educators appropriate IEPs as we look for twice exceptional students. Our experience has been that staff and parents are the best source for our twice exceptional identification as we have had several students in past year in this category. We will continue to inform staff regarding identification equity and look fors during the identification process.

Criteria for Identification RCW 28A.300.770

Explain how you address criteria for identification as required by RCW 28A.300.770.

3. Update actions your LEA takes to address these criteria in your identification policy and procedures, and the LEA's process to implement during 2019-20 identification cycle.

LEAs must have identification procedures for Highly Capable programs that are clearly stated and implemented using the following criteria:

a. Districts must use multiple objective criteria to identify students who are among the most Highly Capable. Multiple pathways for qualifications must be available and no single criterion may disqualify a student from identification.

- b. Highly Capable selection decisions must be based on consideration of criteria benchmarked on local norms, but local norms may not be used as a more restrictive criterion than national norms.
- c. Subjective measures such as teacher recommendations or report card grades may not be used to screen out a student from assessment. These data points may be used alongside other criteria during selection to support identification, but may not be used to disqualify a student from being identified.
- d. To the extent practicable, screening and assessments must be given in the native language of the student. If native language screening and assessments are not available, a nonverbal screening and assessment must be used.

We will review our policies and procedures to verify that we are using multiple objective criteria to identify students who are among the most highly capable. Highly capable decisions will be based on local norms as they have been in the past. Subjective measures such as teacher recommendations or report card grades will not in themselves be used to screen out students for identification. Finally, assessments will be given in the native language if possible otherwise the nonverbal portion of the Cogat will be administered.